



**Fw: RESPONSE Planning Ref 15/03487/STPLF**  
**Beverley DC** to: Planning Consultations  
Sent by: **Anne Wilde**

30/11/2015 09:52

Planning and Development Management  
AG19, County Hall, Beverley  
E: [beverley.dc@eastriding.gov.uk](mailto:beverley.dc@eastriding.gov.uk)  
W: [www.eastriding.gov.uk](http://www.eastriding.gov.uk)

----- Forwarded by Anne Wilde/CR/ERC on 30/11/2015 09:52 -----

From: Simon Jarvis <[REDACTED]>  
To: [beverley.dc@eastriding.gov.uk](mailto:beverley.dc@eastriding.gov.uk),  
Date: 28/11/2015 22:44  
Subject: RESPONSE Planning Ref 15/03487/STPLF

---

Dear Sirs,

I'm writing in respect to application reference 15/03487/STPLF

I would urge the council to reject this application by Harron Homes to develop this land.

We are relatively new to the area, what attracted us here was the traditional small town feeling offered by Snaith. Whilst in close proximity it had separate identity from West Cowick and vice versa. Building on the land between Snaith and Cowick would effectively join the two and eventually both would lose their unique identity and start to become like every other town !

Should there be a requirement for additional homes in the area, there is plenty of land to the West of Snaith that would not see the town lose its identity.

However, that's where I have my first question, where is the demand coming from for these additional properties ?

Over the last 12/18 months there have been announcements of closures and down sizing of multiple large employers in the area, including closure of Eggborough and downsize of Ferrybridge power stations. Therefore, it's likely these properties would be occupied by families who would need to commute.

This leads to my second question. Given the need to support additional commuters, what plans exist to increase the transport infrastructure for Snaith and the surrounding area, there doesn't appear to be any associated plans ? There is already significant delay and queuing traffic in a morning and evening. These additional dwellings and the planned additional two exists will not only increase the volumes but also increase the dangers and increase the potential for serious accident. I have personally witnessed two near misses with drivers coming out of the Junctions on Goole road through the traffic, almost hitting a motorcyclist coming the other way !

Thirdly, given these properties are family homes, it's likely that approx 100-150 additional children may be in Snaith. I'm already aware that the local Primary School already has temporary outbuildings to manage the existing numbers. What are the council's plans to cater for these additional children.

Fourthly, one thing that is missing in Snaith is something for the local Children, with the exception of the football field there are very few

recreational areas for the Children. We already see the Marshes car park regularly used by the Children as a skate park, they need proper areas not car parks ! Wouldn't the land be better served as a recreational development area ?

Finally, is one of a selfish nature. I live in one of the properties that overlook the land to be developed. Amongst other reasons mentioned, we were attracted to the property because of the views over the fields, and the associated privacy this offered. As I'm sure you will appreciate, this will now be spoilt with the planned building, and will likely result in a reduction in value of our dwelling. I haven't seen any proposal from the council or developers to 1, maintain our privacy, currently I have a waist height fence ! 2, to compensate against the reduction of the property value.

I hope the Council find it right and proper to reject this proposal for other options and maintain the identity of Snaith.

I would like you to take this as my objection to the planning proposals.

Many Regards

Simon Jarvis  
Brierley Close

Sent from my iPad